

THE NEW CHARTER.

ARGUMENTS FOR AND AGAINST THE MEASURE—SPEECHES OF HORACE GREENLEY, RUFUS F. ANDREWS, AND SAMUEL J. TILDEN.

ALBANY, April 4.—The Senate Committee on the new Charter for the City of New York met in the Delavan House this afternoon at 6 o'clock. Senator Tweed was in the Chair. There was a large attendance, including many gentlemen from the City of New York. The Chairman said:

The Committee is met to hear the advocates of the opponents to the Charter for the City of New York. We shall be happy to hear gentlemen representing interests in the city.

Mr. Horace Greeley then rose and said:

MR. GREENLEY'S REMARKS.

I appear on behalf of a meeting of citizens convened at the rooms of the Union League Club, and representing a large amount of tax-paying interest, and earnestly devoted to good government. I feel very certain I speak the minds of a majority of that number when I say they do not wish to be represented by your Committee as either advocates or opponents of any document now before you. You may not appear as one of their number to set forth certain amendments they wish to be made to that charter; but they do not often offer them, and I think it would be better for the Legislature to have them as enemies of the Charter reform. On the other hand, they ask me to appear before you to assure you that reform is an essential necessity in this city, and that they regard this measure as embodying many excellent and wise reforms we are not here to ask you to give up. We ask you to improve it; we ask you to adopt certain amendments that will make it more useful and more popular, and that will meet the demands of the state in which it would be adopted. Let me on their part thank you for your courtesy in giving us this hearing, and still more for the thanks of the care and trouble you have given to the important work you have done in this city. We want to be assured of being paid, not of having his name upon the list. Let us have their names; let it be shown that A. and C. are clerks in such a department; but that B. and D. are not, and here are their desks.

The Chairman—We thought that more a matter of ordinance than of State law.

Mr. Greeley—I think it would be better to fix it here. You intend to give us a Board of Aldermen, and no doubt the first Board will be chosen by the next election, and I am afraid that in a little time you will want to deny that you had anything to do with the working of the Board. We want it fixed now so that we may have a permanent government. Our friends are here, but for all time. In reference to the appointment of officers by the present Mayor, A. Oakley Hall, I think it would have been better if the chartered had given the appointment to the new Mayor, so that we might have a permanent government. We have a right to demand this point. Mr. Greeley closed with a reference to the present registry, which he said, was of little benefit as a check against frauds at elections.

Mr. Rufus Andrews, representing the Union Republican Committee, spoke some length in regard to the Charter. His remarks were, in the main, highly laudatory of that instrument.

IMPORTANCE OF THE GREAT CITY.

I need hardly say to you, Mr. Chairman, that the City of New York is a community of some consequence, and need not remind you that it is many years older than the State. There are probably many millions of people who know of the City of New York who are not aware that the State of New York has existed for only forty years.

It is the persons who actually reside in the city, or for, beside the million living in the city, there are probably not less than two or three millions whose property is there, who are members of the community which it would be well to consider. It is spent there, our courtesy in giving us this hearing, and still more for the thankfulness of the care and trouble you have given to the important work you have done in this city. We want to be assured of being paid, not of having his name upon the list. Let us have their names; let it be shown that A. and C. are clerks in such a department; but that B. and D. are not, and here are their desks.

The Chairman—We thought that more a matter of ordinance than of State law.

Mr. Greeley—I think it would be better to fix it here. You intend to give us a Board of Aldermen, and no doubt the first Board will be chosen by the next election, and I am afraid that in a little time you will want to deny that you had anything to do with the working of the Board. We want it fixed now so that we may have a permanent government. Our friends are here, but for all time. In reference to the appointment of officers by the present Mayor, A. Oakley Hall, I think it would have been better if the chartered had given the appointment to the new Mayor, so that we might have a permanent government. We have a right to demand this point. Mr. Greeley closed with a reference to the present registry, which he said, was of little benefit as a check against frauds at elections.

Mr. Rufus Andrews, representing the Union Republican Committee, spoke some length in regard to the Charter. His remarks were, in the main, highly laudatory of that instrument.

REMARKS OF MR. TILDEN.

The Hon. Samuel J. Tilden, Chairman of the Democratic State Committee, said:

MR. CHAIRMAN AND GENTLEMEN OF THE COMMITTEE: When casually here at the close of last week on business, confined to one single topic, beyond which under no circumstances did I propose to extend it, and it was cast aside, I did not then realize the importance of this question, I felt so deeply the importance of that question to the people of New York that I requested of you that it might be deferred for a few days that I might have a chance to speak on the subject.

Now, the great interest and great duty of those of us who belong to the party to which you, Mr. Chairman, and myself belong, is that we look as far as we can safely and prudently to give self-government to the people. Not only are there some anomalies in the franchises in which this bill could be amended to carry out that object more fully. Some of these I will specify.

The objection to the commission system is not that the State ought to have a commission. No man ever objected to that. The objection is to the way it is carried out in the City of New York. No man ever objected that when there was a specific work of construction not involving the exercise of ordinary powers of Government, that it might be done in that mode.

Now, the question which was argued before the Court of Appeals in respect to the Police Commission—the distinguished and illustrious judges, and maintained the extreme views on the side against the judgment of the Court. I refer to the case of the Alderman, and a number of the body which you are called upon to reform. Allow me to say, I hope this will not be the last time that we are compelled to call upon the Charter Party. That is something we entirely disclaim; in fact it is my opinion that you cannot amend a Charter or make a Charter for the benefit of a party. I remember a quarter of a century ago that there was a committee of the party which was formed to oppose the bill which was held under the auspices of what was called the "Herkimer School of Politics," whereof Michael Hoffman was the chief, and they substantially framed a Constitution; and yet when I went to the trial, the trial was held before the State Government, and the State officers, although the Constitution was made under their control, and to some extent for their benefit. What can be done at most is to benefit individuals. I doubt if you can benefit any party. We discuss and attempt to benefit any party, except those of the people, who are obliged to live there and make their fortunes.

PURIFY THE ELECTIONS.

In view to you the programme of resolutions which were adopted at that meeting (somewhat hastily, I admit) I shall refer to the first item on this card, which, indeed, was laid at the foundation of what I have to say to-day. It appears to me to be not the most important, but it ought to be the first contained in any measure for the reform of our City Government, that is the purification of our elections. I don't care if any amendment were proposed to the Charter for the New York City, but we never voted to elect by ballot-box suffrage, it would not be possible to have an honest government. Burke said that the foundation and aim of all good government was to get the twelve hundred industrial men into a jury-court, the same as I am. See the first item on all reform bills in the Government of the City of New York relate to the conviction that every legal voter is an liberty to cast one ballot into the ballot-box, and that no one else can cast any ballot whatever. If you care to see that, you will see it secured in the bill we need most. To-day it is commonly believed in the City of New York that a large proportion of the votes are fraudulently cast, and that a very large number of voters are not entitled to vote. No man ever objected that when there was a specific work of construction not involving the exercise of ordinary powers of Government, that it might be done in that mode.

Now, the question which was argued before the Court of Appeals in respect to the Police Commission—the distinguished and illustrious judges, and maintained the extreme views on the side against the judgment of the Court. I refer to the case of the Alderman, and a number of the body which you are called upon to reform. Allow me to say, I hope this will not be the last time that we are compelled to call upon the Charter Party. That is something we entirely disclaim; in fact it is my opinion that you cannot amend a Charter or make a Charter for the benefit of a party. I remember a quarter of a century ago that there was a committee of the party which was formed to oppose the bill which was held under the auspices of what was called the "Herkimer School of Politics," whereof Michael Hoffman was the chief, and they substantially framed a Constitution; and yet when I went to the trial, the trial was held before the State Government, and the State officers, although the Constitution was made under their control, and to some extent for their benefit. What can be done at most is to benefit individuals. I doubt if you can benefit any party. We discuss and attempt to benefit any party, except those of the people, who are obliged to live there and make their fortunes.

Mr. Greeley—I have not examined that bill. All I say is, it ought to be distinctly laid down as a principle that no man who is not a voter shall be allowed to cast a vote. Who are the men who usually do the dishonest voting? They are usually poor and wretched persons whom nobody knows, nobody sees. To-morrow they are the citizens. Now, the law which says that a man who has no power to vote, and who is not a voter, shall be held to account as a perjuror, and whom they from some pretty offense, is a good one. There is where the law should be made. The law should swear every election officer to receive only legal votes, and to disqualify any voter as they are seen to be the thief; that man who incites or suborns men to vote illegally should be punished at least as severely as those who vote in secret.

Mr. Kennedy—The speaker makes no provision for an alibi bill.

Mr. Greeley—I have not examined that bill. All I say is, it ought to be distinctly laid down as a principle that no man who is not a voter shall be allowed to cast a vote. Who are the men who usually do the dishonest voting? They are usually poor and wretched persons whom nobody knows, nobody sees.

To-morrow they are the citizens. Now, the law which says that a man who has no power to vote, and who is not a voter, shall be held to account as a perjuror, and whom they from some pretty offense, is a good one. There is where the law should be made. The law should swear every election officer to receive only legal votes, and to disqualify any voter as they are seen to be the thief; that man who incites or suborns men to vote illegally should be punished at least as severely as those who vote in secret.

Mr. Kennedy—The speaker makes no provision for an alibi bill.

Mr. Greeley—I have not examined that bill. All I say is, it ought to be distinctly laid down as a principle that no man who is not a voter shall be allowed to cast a vote. Who are the men who usually do the dishonest voting? They are usually poor and wretched persons whom nobody knows, nobody sees.

To-morrow they are the citizens. Now, the law which says that a man who has no power to vote, and who is not a voter, shall be held to account as a perjuror, and whom they from some pretty offense, is a good one. There is where the law should be made. The law should swear every election officer to receive only legal votes, and to disqualify any voter as they are seen to be the thief; that man who incites or suborns men to vote illegally should be punished at least as severely as those who vote in secret.

Mr. Kennedy—The speaker makes no provision for an alibi bill.

Mr. Greeley—I have not examined that bill. All I say is, it ought to be distinctly laid down as a principle that no man who is not a voter shall be allowed to cast a vote. Who are the men who usually do the dishonest voting? They are usually poor and wretched persons whom nobody knows, nobody sees.

To-morrow they are the citizens. Now, the law which says that a man who has no power to vote, and who is not a voter, shall be held to account as a perjuror, and whom they from some pretty offense, is a good one. There is where the law should be made. The law should swear every election officer to receive only legal votes, and to disqualify any voter as they are seen to be the thief; that man who incites or suborns men to vote illegally should be punished at least as severely as those who vote in secret.

Mr. Kennedy—The speaker makes no provision for an alibi bill.

Mr. Greeley—I have not examined that bill. All I say is, it ought to be distinctly laid down as a principle that no man who is not a voter shall be allowed to cast a vote. Who are the men who usually do the dishonest voting? They are usually poor and wretched persons whom nobody knows, nobody sees.

To-morrow they are the citizens. Now, the law which says that a man who has no power to vote, and who is not a voter, shall be held to account as a perjuror, and whom they from some pretty offense, is a good one. There is where the law should be made. The law should swear every election officer to receive only legal votes, and to disqualify any voter as they are seen to be the thief; that man who incites or suborns men to vote illegally should be punished at least as severely as those who vote in secret.

Mr. Kennedy—The speaker makes no provision for an alibi bill.

Mr. Greeley—I have not examined that bill. All I say is, it ought to be distinctly laid down as a principle that no man who is not a voter shall be allowed to cast a vote. Who are the men who usually do the dishonest voting? They are usually poor and wretched persons whom nobody knows, nobody sees.

To-morrow they are the citizens. Now, the law which says that a man who has no power to vote, and who is not a voter, shall be held to account as a perjuror, and whom they from some pretty offense, is a good one. There is where the law should be made. The law should swear every election officer to receive only legal votes, and to disqualify any voter as they are seen to be the thief; that man who incites or suborns men to vote illegally should be punished at least as severely as those who vote in secret.

Mr. Kennedy—The speaker makes no provision for an alibi bill.

Mr. Greeley—I have not examined that bill. All I say is, it ought to be distinctly laid down as a principle that no man who is not a voter shall be allowed to cast a vote. Who are the men who usually do the dishonest voting? They are usually poor and wretched persons whom nobody knows, nobody sees.

To-morrow they are the citizens. Now, the law which says that a man who has no power to vote, and who is not a voter, shall be held to account as a perjuror, and whom they from some pretty offense, is a good one. There is where the law should be made. The law should swear every election officer to receive only legal votes, and to disqualify any voter as they are seen to be the thief; that man who incites or suborns men to vote illegally should be punished at least as severely as those who vote in secret.

Mr. Kennedy—The speaker makes no provision for an alibi bill.

Mr. Greeley—I have not examined that bill. All I say is, it ought to be distinctly laid down as a principle that no man who is not a voter shall be allowed to cast a vote. Who are the men who usually do the dishonest voting? They are usually poor and wretched persons whom nobody knows, nobody sees.

To-morrow they are the citizens. Now, the law which says that a man who has no power to vote, and who is not a voter, shall be held to account as a perjuror, and whom they from some pretty offense, is a good one. There is where the law should be made. The law should swear every election officer to receive only legal votes, and to disqualify any voter as they are seen to be the thief; that man who incites or suborns men to vote illegally should be punished at least as severely as those who vote in secret.

Mr. Kennedy—The speaker makes no provision for an alibi bill.

Mr. Greeley—I have not examined that bill. All I say is, it ought to be distinctly laid down as a principle that no man who is not a voter shall be allowed to cast a vote. Who are the men who usually do the dishonest voting? They are usually poor and wretched persons whom nobody knows, nobody sees.

To-morrow they are the citizens. Now, the law which says that a man who has no power to vote, and who is not a voter, shall be held to account as a perjuror, and whom they from some pretty offense, is a good one. There is where the law should be made. The law should swear every election officer to receive only legal votes, and to disqualify any voter as they are seen to be the thief; that man who incites or suborns men to vote illegally should be punished at least as severely as those who vote in secret.

Mr. Kennedy—The speaker makes no provision for an alibi bill.

Mr. Greeley—I have not examined that bill. All I say is, it ought to be distinctly laid down as a principle that no man who is not a voter shall be allowed to cast a vote. Who are the men who usually do the dishonest voting? They are usually poor and wretched persons whom nobody knows, nobody sees.

To-morrow they are the citizens. Now, the law which says that a man who has no power to vote, and who is not a voter, shall be held to account as a perjuror, and whom they from some pretty offense, is a good one. There is where the law should be made. The law should swear every election officer to receive only legal votes, and to disqualify any voter as they are seen to be the thief; that man who incites or suborns men to vote illegally should be punished at least as severely as those who vote in secret.

Mr. Kennedy—The speaker makes no provision for an alibi bill.

Mr. Greeley—I have not examined that bill. All I say is, it ought to be distinctly laid down as a principle that no man who is not a voter shall be allowed to cast a vote. Who are the men who usually do the dishonest voting? They are usually poor and wretched persons whom nobody knows, nobody sees.

To-morrow they are the citizens. Now, the law which says that a man who has no power to vote, and who is not a voter, shall be held to account as a perjuror, and whom they from some pretty offense, is a good one. There is where the law should be made. The law should swear every election officer to receive only legal votes, and to disqualify any voter as they are seen to be the thief; that man who incites or suborns men to vote illegally should be punished at least as severely as those who vote in secret.

Mr. Kennedy—The speaker makes no provision for an alibi bill.

Mr. Greeley—I have not examined that bill. All I say is, it ought to be distinctly laid down as a principle that no man who is not a voter shall be allowed to cast a vote. Who are the men who usually do the dishonest voting? They are usually poor and wretched persons whom nobody knows, nobody sees.

To-morrow they are the citizens. Now, the law which says that a man who has no power to vote, and who is not a voter, shall be held to account as a perjuror, and whom they from some pretty offense, is a good one. There is where the law should be made. The law should swear every election officer to receive only legal votes, and to disqualify any voter as they are seen to be the thief; that man who incites or suborns men to vote illegally should be punished at least as severely as those who vote in secret.

Mr. Kennedy—The speaker makes no provision for an alibi bill.

Mr. Greeley—I have not examined that bill. All I say is, it ought to be distinctly laid down as a principle that no man who is not a voter shall be allowed to cast a vote. Who are the men who usually do the dishonest voting? They are usually poor and wretched persons whom nobody knows, nobody sees.

To-morrow they are the citizens. Now, the law which says that a man who has no power to vote, and who is not a voter, shall be held to account as a perjuror, and whom they from some pretty offense, is a good one. There is where the law should be made. The law should swear every election officer to receive only legal votes, and to disqualify any voter as they are seen to be the thief; that man who incites or suborns men to vote illegally should be punished at least as severely as those who vote in secret.

Mr. Kennedy—The speaker makes no provision for an alibi bill.

Mr. Greeley—I have not examined that bill. All I say is, it ought to be distinctly laid down as a principle that no man who is not a voter shall be allowed to cast a vote. Who are the men who usually do the dishonest voting? They are usually poor and wretched persons whom nobody knows, nobody sees.

To-morrow they are the citizens. Now, the law which says that a man who has no power to vote, and who is not a voter, shall be held to account as a perjuror, and whom they from some pretty offense, is a good one. There is where the law should be made. The law should swear every election officer to receive only legal votes, and to disqualify any voter as they are seen to be the thief; that man who incites or suborns men to vote illegally should be punished at least as severely as those who vote in secret.

Mr. Kennedy—The speaker makes no provision for an alibi bill.

Mr. Greeley—I have not examined that bill. All I say is, it ought to be distinctly laid down as a principle that no man who is not a voter shall be allowed to cast a vote. Who are the men who usually do the dishonest voting? They are usually poor and wretched persons whom nobody knows, nobody sees.

To-morrow they are the citizens. Now, the law which says that a man who has no power to vote, and who is not a voter, shall be held to account as a perjuror, and whom they from some pretty offense, is a good one. There is where the law should be made. The law should swear every election officer to receive only legal votes, and to disqualify any voter as they are seen to be the thief; that man who incites or suborns men to vote illegally should be punished at least as severely as those who vote in secret.

Mr. Kennedy—The speaker makes no provision for an alibi bill.

Mr. Greeley—I have not examined that bill. All I say is, it ought to be distinctly laid down as a principle that no man who is not a voter shall be allowed to cast a vote. Who are the men who usually do the dishonest voting? They are usually poor and wretched persons whom nobody knows, nobody sees.

To-morrow they are the citizens. Now, the law which says that a man who has no power to vote, and who is not a voter, shall be held to account as a perjuror, and whom they from some pretty offense, is a good one. There is where the law should be made. The law should swear every election officer to receive only legal votes, and to disqualify any voter as